Genetic variation in *Arabidopsis suecica* and its parental species *A. arenosa* and *A. thaliana*
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Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers were used to estimate the level of genetic variation in Swedish accessions of the allopolyploid *Arabidopsis suecica* and its parental species *A. thaliana* and *A. arenosa*. The results showed clear differences among the three species with respect to the level of variation. *A. arenosa* was highly variable, *A. thaliana* showed a moderate level of variation whereas *A. suecica* was much less variable than the two other species. An extended analysis covering 19 Swedish populations of *A. suecica* corroborated the low level of variation in this species, yet 16 unique phenotypes were observed. No isolation by distance was observed. When the genetic variation was partitioned among and within populations of *A. suecica*, the results showed that the majority of the variation (81 %) occurred among populations. This result is interpreted as a strong indication that *A. suecica* is autogamous in nature.
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*Arabidopsis suecica* (2n = 26) is an allopolyploid, with *A. thaliana* (2n = 10) and *A. (Cardaminopsis) arenosa* (2n = 16, 2n = 32) as its parental species (Hylander 1957; Mummehof and Hurka 1994, 1995; Kamm et al. 1995; O’Kane et al. 1996). Since *A. thaliana* is the leading model organism in plant genetics, *A. suecica* emerges as a potentially very interesting model plant for polyploid research, particularly in comparison to its parental species (Chen et al. 1998; Lee and Chen 2001). *A. suecica* is endemic to the fennoscandian peninsula, with central Sweden and southern Finland as the main range, although isolated populations do occur across the two countries (Hultén 1971). In Finland and Sweden, *A. thaliana* occurs predominantly in the south, once again with isolated occurrences elsewhere. Only in Finland is there an extensive overlap between the continuous distributions of *A. thaliana* and *A. suecica*. *A. arenosa* is rare all over Finland, whereas in Sweden, its range more or less coincides with that of *A. suecica*. In this area *A. arenosa* is considerably more common than *A. suecica*. Both *A. suecica* and *A. arenosa* occur on sandy and notably disturbed ground such as roadsides, railways, gravel pits etc. *A. suecica* and to some extent, *A. arenosa*, are sensitive to competition, i.e. if succession is allowed to persist in a locality they will eventually disappear. As a result *A. suecica* has a very patchy distribution where survival in a particular locality is often limited. Both *A. suecica* and *A. arenosa* grow as annuals or short-lived perennials in fennoscandia. Only the tetraploid form of *A. arenosa* (2n = 32) is known to occur in northern Europe.

Several basic biological issues concerning *A. suecica* have not been fully investigated; these include how it reproduces, its population structure and the level of genetic variation. Greenhouse observations of *A. suecica* and its parental species show that *A. suecica* produces large quantities of seed in isolation as does *A. thaliana*, which is autogamous (self-fertilising). This indicates that *A. suecica* is also autogamous, just as *A. thaliana* is. However, observations of self-fertility in a greenhouse cannot be accepted as evidence that the species is autogamous in nature. Nor can the possibility of apomixis be completely ruled out. In contrast, *A. arenosa* appears to be completely self-sterile. According to our observations seed is normally produced in this species only after active cross-pollination (unpublished results).

In the present investigation we compared the general level of variation among the three species using RAPD markers. We also investigated whether the genetic variation within *A. suecica* showed any geographical distribution pattern. Finally we compared the amount of variation within and between populations of *A. suecica*.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Plant material**

A total of 19 populations of *Arabidopsis suecica*, 6 populations of *A. thaliana* and 5 populations of *A.
arenosa were investigated (Table 1). All accessions were collected in Sweden. This paper reports three separate experiments which used subsets of the populations as noted in Table 1. In all cases single plants were used.

**DNA isolation and RAPD analysis**

Total DNA was isolated from young leaves using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA concentrations were determined after agarose gel electrophoresis by comparison with known amounts of lambda DNA as standard. PCR amplifications were performed in MJ Research PTC-100 thermal cyclers. The reaction mixtures contained 0.8 ng/μl of template DNA, 0.4 μM primer (Operon Technologies, Alameda, USA), 10 mM Tris pH 8.2, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM dNTP (Pharmacia) and 0.025 u/μl of Taq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems). The thermal cyclers were programmed for one initial cycle of 2 min at 94°C followed by 40 cycles of 10 s at 94°C, 30 s at 36°C and 1 min at 72°C. This was followed by a final extension period of 2 min at 72°C. The amplified products were resolved on 2.5 % agarose gels (SeaKem LE, FMC Bioproducts) containing 0.75 μg/ml EtBr. Gel electrophoresis was run at 5.0 V/cm in 1 × TAE (40 mM Tris-acetate and 1 mM EDTA) for one hour.

**Statistical analysis**

Data was scored as presence-absence of bands, with band-levels as the basic unit. Bands were not compared between species due to the risk of misinterpretation of comigrating heterologous bands. In addition, due to the dominant nature of the RAPD system, it is not possible to discriminate between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Number ++</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. suecica</td>
<td>S60</td>
<td>Vännäs</td>
<td>63 55 19 46</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S71*+</td>
<td>S Nyåker</td>
<td>63 42 19 21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S80</td>
<td>Nordmåling</td>
<td>63 35 19 28</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S90</td>
<td>Västanbäck</td>
<td>63 47 17 05</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S110</td>
<td>Ängebo</td>
<td>61 58 16 20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S120</td>
<td>Friggesund</td>
<td>61 54 16 33</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S130*</td>
<td>Strömsbruk</td>
<td>61 53 17 19</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S140</td>
<td>V Indal</td>
<td>62 36 17 02</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S150*</td>
<td>Ytterhogdal</td>
<td>62 10 14 56</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S170</td>
<td>Los</td>
<td>61 44 15 10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S181</td>
<td>Road 310/Voxnan</td>
<td>61 41 15 03</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S226*+</td>
<td>Högsjö</td>
<td>62 48 17 52</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S163</td>
<td>NV Ytterhogdal</td>
<td>62 10 14 53</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S260*</td>
<td>Hammarstrand</td>
<td>63 05 16 22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S270</td>
<td>Stadfsforsen</td>
<td>62 58 16 40</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S290*</td>
<td>Edel</td>
<td>63 04 16 52</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S300</td>
<td>Sörflärda</td>
<td>62 02 17 28</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S311</td>
<td>Stocktjärn</td>
<td>63 47 20 12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S330*</td>
<td>Karlstad</td>
<td>59 23 13 27</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. arenosa</td>
<td>A121</td>
<td>Vännäs</td>
<td>63 55 19 45</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A691</td>
<td>Vinslöv</td>
<td>56 06 13 55</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A701</td>
<td>Hässleholm</td>
<td>56 10 13 46</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A740</td>
<td>NV Ytterhogdal</td>
<td>62 11 14 53</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A751</td>
<td>Alnö</td>
<td>62 27 17 25</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>T001</td>
<td>Vänersborg</td>
<td>58 23 12 19</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T050</td>
<td>Kristianstad</td>
<td>56 02 14 14</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T069</td>
<td>Tottarp</td>
<td>55 57 13 50</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T140</td>
<td>Ålmamåla</td>
<td>56 29 15 31</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T150</td>
<td>Vimmerby</td>
<td>57 40 15 50</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T170</td>
<td>Lund</td>
<td>55 43 13 12</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* accessions used in the species comparison, + accessions used to partition variation within and among A. suecica populations, ++ number used as symbols in Fig. 2.
homzygotes and heterozygotes among the individuals showing a specific band. This means that it is impossible to estimate allele frequencies unless a number of assumptions are made. Owing to this the band pattern was treated as a phenotype and the level of variation was measured by the Shannon information index, \( H_I = -\Sigma p_i \log_2 p_i \) (Lewontin 1972) where \( p_i \) is the frequency of presence or absence of the RAPD. To quantify differences between pairs of accessions we used 1 minus the commonly used band-sharing index, i.e. \( 1 - [n_{ij}(n_i + n_j)] \) where \( n_i \) is the number of shared bands between individuals \( i \) and \( j \). \( n_i \) and \( n_j \) are the total numbers of bands for individuals \( i \) and \( j \) respectively. In order to statistically test any observed differences we used a resampling (bootstrap) test. For each iteration, random samples were taken from each species respectively and the information index was calculated. Principal component analysis was carried out using the SAS statistical package (SAS Institute Inc. 1990), based on the distances calculated above. The Mantel test (Mantel 1967) was used to investigate the existence of a correlation between geographical and phenotypic distance. A character incompatibility analysis (Mes 1998; van der Hulst et al. 2000; Wilkinson 2001) was carried out to investigate the multilocus structure of the Arabidopsis suecica populations. An incompatibility is said to occur for a pair of loci when all four combinations of alleles (i.e. 11, 10, 01 and 00) occur in the data set. The term incompatibility refers to the fact that under clonal propagation and unique mutations all four combinations are cannot exist. An incompatibility is either due to recurrent mutation or to recombination. We calculated the total number of incompatibilities in the data set as well as the expected number of incompatibilities under complete independence between band levels. This was done by randomisation of the phenotypes within each locus.

RESULTS

Comparison of Arabidopsis suecica and its parental species

A single plant from each of the 19 A. suecica populations was investigated (Table 1). These populations cover the major part of the geographical range of A. suecica. A total of 44 primers were used to amplify RAPD products. A total of 228 bands were scored, of which 153 (68%) were invariable. The level of variation in this extended data set was \( H_I = 0.18 \). Four of the 19 accessions showed identical phenotypes, all other accessions showed unique phenotypes. In this data set pairwise distances varied between 0.093 and 0. We found a total of 469 incompatibilities using the 75 variable bands. This was significantly lower (\( P < 0.0001 \)) than expected under random permutations of the band patterns (740 incompatibilities). A principal component analysis was performed in order to search for any underlying structure among the populations. The results are shown graphically in Fig. 2. The graph show one tight cluster containing 6 accessions and a tendency to another cluster with four accessions. Finally we tested to see if any association existed between similarity in band phenotype and geographical distance. The mantel test showed no significant association (\( P = 0.25 \)).

Geographical distribution of the variation in Arabidopsis suecica

A single plant from each of the 19 A. suecica populations was investigated (Table 1). These populations cover the major part of the geographical range of A. suecica. A total of 44 primers were used to amplify RAPD products. A total of 228 bands were scored, of which 153 (68%) were invariable. The level of variation in this extended data set was \( H_I = 0.18 \). Four of the 19 accessions showed identical phenotypes, all other accessions showed unique phenotypes. In this data set pairwise distances varied between 0.093 and 0. We found a total of 469 incompatibilities using the 75 variable bands. This was significantly lower (\( P < 0.0001 \)) than expected under random permutations of the band patterns (740 incompatibilities). A principal component analysis was performed in order to search for any underlying structure among the populations. The results are shown graphically in Fig. 2. The graph show one tight cluster containing 6 accessions and a tendency to another cluster with four accessions. Finally we tested to see if any association existed between similarity in band phenotype and geographical distance. The mantel test showed no significant association (\( P = 0.25 \)).
Variation within and among populations

Six individuals from each of three *A. suecica* populations were investigated using 43 primers. A total of 230 bands were scored. The number of completely invariable bands was 181 (81%) leaving 49 variable bands. Among the variable bands, 30 were variable only among populations and 19 bands showed variation within populations. Three of the bands were variable in more than one population. The level of variation was 0.024, 0.012 and 0.040 respectively for the three populations and 0.179 for the complete data set. Using the variable bands only, the variation was partitioned among and between the three populations. It was then found that 80.7% of the variation could be attributed to variation among populations.

**DISCUSSION**

The comparison of the three species rendered very clear results concerning the amount of variation present in the species. The relative level of variation decreased in the order *Arabidopsis arenosa*, *A. thaliana* and *A. suecica*. Comparing our results to RAPD studies in a range of species (e.g. Dawson et al. 1995; Russell et al. 1997; Prathepha and Bai 1998; Iki et al. 2001) it was found that the three species cover the range of observed levels of variation. *A. arenosa* shows a comparatively high level of variation and *A. suecica* is among the least variable species for which comparable RAPD data have been presented. The low level of variation in *A. suecica* can be taken as an indication that the species has a single origin. In particular, this is indicated by the fact that the two most diverse *A. suecica* phenotypes, also among the 19 populations, are clearly closer than the most similar *A. thaliana* populations. This is nothing more than an indication, however, since in the case of multiple origins of an allopolyploid, offspring will eventually meet and reproduce. Nuclear markers will then recombine which will obscure the pattern of origins. In order to settle this question more data are needed and we are at present sequencing chloroplast DNA, using a larger set of accessions of *A. suecica*.

*A. thaliana* showed a considerable level of variation. Comparable levels of variation were found by Miyashita et al. (1999) who investigated 38 ecotypes of *A. thaliana* using AFLP. In that study, as well as in other investigations of genetic variation in *A. thaliana* such as Bergelson et al. (1998) no association between genetic differentiation and geographical distance could be shown. Sharbel et al. (2000) found significant correlations when Asian and European ecotypes were compared but could not find...
any such pattern within Europe. In the case of complete absence of geographical structure any sample is equally informative about the variation in the species irrespective of geographical origin. Thus, the cited results implicate that our sample of six Swedish populations is expected to show approximately the same level of variation as a sample covering the whole of Europe, which in fact appears to be the case when our results are compared to those of Miyashita et al. (1999).

The high level of variation in *A. arenosa* is interesting. *A. arenosa* was first recorded in Sweden in 1840 (Fries 1843; Malmgren 1982) and is assumed to have spread since then. Hylander (1957) points out that this view is contradictory to the fact that *A. suecica* was reported as early as the 18th century (Linnaeus 1755; Wahlenberg 1824). Hylander (1957) suggests as one possibility that *A. arenosa* existed in the fennoscandinavian peninsula in postglacial time when *A. suecica* is assumed to have been formed and then later disappeared from the area. The present population of *A. arenosa*, would then originate from the late introduction in the 19th century. Alternatively *A. suecica* did not originate in fennoscandia but moved there, possibly following the retreating ice. Our results oppose a scenario of a late single narrow introduction. The high level of variation indicates either a continuous inflow of genetic material of varying origins, or that the species existed in central and northern Sweden in isolated localities and then spread along roads and railways in the 19th and 20th centuries.

The more detailed investigations of *A. suecica* corroborated the initial observation of a low level of variation. Just as in *A. thaliana*, (Bergelson et al. 1998 and Miyashita et al. 1999) isolation by distance could not be shown in our sample of *A. suecica* genotypes. This is not surprising given the habitats occupied by *A. suecica*. Species growing in such proximity to man, i.e. roads, railroads and gravel pits, will easily be accidentally transported long distances by human activity, which in turn will often cause populations of different origin to live in proximity to one another. It is mentioned above that observations of *A. suecica* in the greenhouse indicated that it is autogamous, as is *A. thaliana*. The observation that approximately 80% of the variation is distributed among populations clearly shows that *A. suecica* is not an outbreeder in nature. This is actually a stronger differentiation than is usually observed in known selfers, Abbot and Gomes (1989) found a population differentiation of 0.63 in *A. thaliana*, Bergelson et al. (1998) found a differentiation of 0.64 and Kuttinen et al. (1997) found similar levels in the same species. It should be pointed out that at present, apomixis cannot be completely ruled out. However the observation, that the observed number of incompatibilities constitute 63% of the expectation, renders obligate apomixis very unlikely. At the same time, the fact that the number of incompatibilities were lower than expected at complete randomisation of phenotypes supports the conclusion that *A. suecica* is not an outbreeder.
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